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What is NCPDP?

- An ANSI-accredited standards development organization.
- Provides a forum and marketplace for a diverse membership focused on healthcare and pharmacy business solutions.
- A member driven organization that has been named in various government legislation and rulings, such as HIPAA and the Medicare Part D Regulation.
- One of several Standards Development Organizations (SDOs) involved in Healthcare Information Technology and Standardization.
- Focus on pharmacy services, and has the highest member representation from the pharmacy services sector of healthcare.
- NCPDP standards are used in pharmacy processes, payer processes, electronic prescribing, rebates, and more.

- NCPDP dataQ™ - provides healthcare stakeholders with up-to-date, comprehensive, and in-depth pharmacy information.
- NCPDP Online - enumerator of the NCPDP Provider ID number.
- HCIdea - NCPDP’s relational healthcare prescriber database of over 2.1 million prescribers created for the industry, by the industry.
- RxReconn™ - NCPDP’s legislative tracking product.
Advances in medication therapy management, biotechnology, designer drugs, specialty pharmacy, and the cost of the pharmacy benefit, has increased the number of PA’d medications.

From 2005 to 2011, the number of prior authorizations have increased nearly six-fold.

Among commercial plans, the number of PAs have increased dramatically.

Among Medicaid programs, the number has been fairly consistent.

The largest jump in Medicare was after the Part D program was introduced in 2006.
Impact of Prior Authorization

Patient hassle and treatment delay
- PA unknown until patient has already left office
- Treatment might be delayed for days

Pharmacy hassle
- Pharmacy must call prescriber’s office, and sometimes the plan

Prescriber hassle and disruption
- Call back from pharmacy, must call plan, wait for faxed form, completes form and sends it back
- Turnaround time can be 48 hours or more

Pharmaceutical Co
- Delayed and abandoned prescriptions
- Extensive outlay for physician and patient administrative assistance

Physicians
- Expensive and labor intensive process that creates animosity

Physician Software
- Concern about wasted resources and priorities
- New complicated transactions and changed workflow

Physicians
- New complicated transactions and changed workflow

Intermediary Opportunity
- Value creation in connecting partners
- There are questions of priority, however

PBM/Health plan efficiency
- Expensive and labor intensive process that creates animosity
Federal government (HIPAA, MMA, CMS/AHRQ) efforts to encourage development and adoption of ePA has brought us to an inflection point. The industry must now take over.

NCPDP ePA Task Group Formed
- Standard transactions mapped
- Gaps identified
- HL7 PA Attachment created (2005)

CMS/AHRQ pushes forward
- Resolution of which SDO would own ePA
- Exception to HIPAA resolved
- Value model created

Renewed Interest
- More pilots
- Economic value
- State legislation

Aug 1996
HIPAA passes
- X12 278 named “prior authorization” transaction standard

Nov 2004
MMA ePrescribing Pilot Tests
- “Menagerie of ePA standards” pilot tested
- One standard — not X12 278 — recommended

2006

2008
New Standard Created
- Housed in NCPDP
- Compatible with emerging technology
- No pilot test

2009

2011
“We are not aware of a widely adopted, common, industry transaction standard that has been demonstrated to support real-time ePA, nor are we aware of a common or universal electronic format that has been demonstrated to facilitate distribution of prior authorization forms. We are aware of work that has been done by the National Council for Prescription Drug Programs (NCPDP) to create an XML-based ePA messaging standard and a real-time eligibility check messaging standard.”

“Therefore, requiring real-time electronic prior authorization as a prerequisite technical capability before health care providers could e-prescribe and/or access drug formulary information may be difficult to implement, and could otherwise prevent providers from being able to e-prescribe. … it could also keep them from being able to participate in the incentive programs noted above.”
Proposed Standard

PATIENT
- Visits Doctor

Drugs can be identified as requiring PA via NCPDP Formulary & Benefit Standard (or not)

PAYER
- Determines PA Status, Criteria
- Compiles PA clinical rules
- Processes PA Requests
- Processes Drug Claims

PAYER
- Real-time Benefit Check transaction

PREScriber
- Writes Prescription
- Completes a structured Q&A
- Submits PA Request
- Transmits Prescription

PHARMACY
- Dispense Drugs
- Files Drug Claims

Drug Claims are Submitted via NCPDP Telecommunication vD.0

Red = gaps in existing standards    Blue = existing standards
# NCPDP Facilitated Focus Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Date/Location</strong></th>
<th>October 6, 2011</th>
<th>NCPDP Headquarters, Scottsdale, AZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To identify basic needs and issues for the industry related to electronic Prior Authorization.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To implement a pilot project that uses the NCPDP standards that will address the concerns of all affected parties.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To come away from this meeting with a basic project plan to create an ePA pilot.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organizations Participating</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBMs/Payers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• CVS Caremark, Express Scripts, Medco, Catalyst, Argus, SXC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vendors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• DrFirst, CoverMyMeds, Armada, Agadia, Ibeza, RxEOB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Surescripts, Emdeon, RelayHealth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physicians/Organizations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• AMA, Am College of Rheumatology, Heart &amp; Vascular Center of Arizona</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Government</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• CMS, AHRQ, Minnesota Department of Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pfizer, Lilly, Center for Healthcare Transformation, AMCP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facilitator/ Speakers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rick Sage, VP Clinical Services Emdeon; Co-Chair, NCPDP Workgroup 11 – ePrescribing &amp; Related Transactions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Tony Schueth, CEO &amp; Managing Partner, Point-of-Care Partners; former leader, NCPDP ePA Task Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Three Pilots, One Live Program Discussed

Prospective vendor integration where PA is completed within the eRx process, before the patient leaves doctor’s office
Chose not to use NCPDP ePA draft standard although used elements
Leverages the Real-time Benefit Check
SCRIPT RxChange planned to be used for retrospective PA.
Go-live planned for January, 2012 with Allscripts (other vendors to follow)

Prospective orientation where PA is completed within the eRx process, before the patient leaves doctor’s office
Will use the NCPDP ePA draft standard
Intent is to use ePrescribing software partner but vendor not announced
Target is 4Q2011 for Phase 1 and 2Q2012 for Phase 2

PA can be initiated before or after the claim rejection at the pharmacy (which is after leaving the physician office)
Uses NCPDP Telecommunication Standard D.0 from pharmacy
Will use the NCPDP ePA draft standard if applicable

Retrospective orientation where PA is addressed after eRx is received (and it is determined that PA is required)
Case/secure link is created, sent to physician via existing channel
Portal log-in not required
Interim solution using NCPDP SCRIPT Change & Status functions
**Action Items**

- Update the ePA diagrams from the focus group to include the new entry points from the previous slides’ participants and use as starting points for NCPDP Task Group
- Two entities to compare the XML transactions and bring forward to NCPDP Task Group
- Re-form the NCPDP ePA Workflow to Transactions Task Group based on the newly refocused industry efforts
- Share draft real-time benefit check documentation with the Task Group
- Pilots to proceed and bring forward their findings to the Task Group
- Proceed with analysis of standards needs in the Task Group
Attachments used in Pharmacy Industry Processing Standards
Query Transactions

- **Query** transactions between entities, such as pharmacy and prescriber, for patient-centric clinical health information such as:
  - Allergies
  - Conditions
  - Medical histories
  - “All clinical info”

- The clinical information is exchanged using industry standards that are currently in use within the medical community –
  - ASTM’s Continuity of Care Record (CCR) and
  - HL7 International’s Clinical Document Architecture (CDA) with the specific template of the Continuity of Care Document (CCD).

- The CCR or CDA documents may be attached to an NCPDP Clinical Info Response – either as an original Clinical Info Response or sent as a follow-up in a subsequent Clinical Info Response transaction.
Medication Therapy Management and other Patient Care Services

- MTM Service Request and Response transactions
  - Payer requesting pharmacy, provider to accept a patient for MTM Service
  - Includes type of service and targeted type of service if applicable
    - Terminologies being developed
  - May include clinical information attachment using the same attachment structure as the Query
  - Pharmacy or provider responds back with acceptance or denial
- Billing for service is named under HIPAA
  - NCPDP Telecommunication Standard
  - ASC X12 837 Technical Report 3
- MTM Service Documentation transaction for providing service documentation, reported either before or after the service billing
  - Separating the billing function from the service documentation function
  - May include clinical information attachment using the same attachment structure as the Query
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