

NCVHS

February 26, 2015

***DSMO Testimony on
Review Committee Evaluation Criteria***

Durwin Day, Chair presenting on behalf of DSMO

Review Committee Evaluation Criteria

What suggestions are there for evaluation criteria?

Be Comprehensive:

- Review ONC 2015-2020 roadmap and ensure there are no conflicts.
- Return on investment: Benefits vs. cost of implementation
- Factor in the complete view of industry initiatives that can impact resources for the implementation process
 - Review mandates from federal and state perspective

Determine Scope of Changes:

- Check for any interdependency between transactions
- Consider the number of changes applied and the industry benefits
 - Example: Element in transaction changed earlier is being questioned by several users in the industry. Is it something critical or just a need for more clarity?
 - Are there requests that cannot be accommodated in the current version which requires workaround?
 - Has the transaction been widely implemented by stakeholders?
 - Example: ASC X12 278 ?

Consensus among stakeholders:

- Process was open and inclusive for all stakeholders
- No conflict between operating rules and the standards

Should the RC evaluation criteria be used to evaluate new/proposed standards and operating rules?

- YES
- In addition, pilot testing to help determine:
 - cost benefit summary
 - assessment of timeline for system changes
 - the vendor community needs
 - benefits from phased implementation approach

Do you have evaluation criteria that can be used to evaluate standards and operating rules?

- DSMO reviews approved change requests to ensure they have been incorporated into the new version of the standard

What evaluation criteria have you seen that are effective? Please explain?

Evaluation tools:

- Return on Investment Analysis
- Justification and comments from public hearings, whitepapers
- Compare impact /benefit of implementing versus not implementing
- National Standards Group Checklist (previous OESS 5010)
- ASC X12 Business Analysis Report
- DSMO change request results

What process(s) should be used to evaluate standards and operating rules?

- NCVHS Hearings for public testimony and written comments
- DSMO recommendations on change requests
 - support for public review and analysis of comments
- Multiple levels of approvals that include all stakeholders
- Governance operating procedures are readily available, and understood by participants, to not disenfranchise

Conclusion

- Conduct hearings, and public testimony
 - Widely publicize hearings to reach all interested parties
- Be inclusive of all stakeholders
 - Any interested party should be provided the opportunity to be engaged and participate in the solution
- Consensus among the SDOs, CORE & DSMO in the evaluation of standards and op rules
 - Whitepapers, other advisory groups, industry roadmap